Interview Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|--------------|
| 10/615,340 | KATO ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Chuc D. Tran | 2821 |

| | Chuc D. Tran | 2821 | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | |
| (1) Chuc D. Tran. | (3) | | | | |
| (2) Scott M. Tulino, ESQ. | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>15 June 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☒ applicant | 2)☐ applicant's representative |] | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e) No. | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,5 and 16</u> . | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>USP. 6,753,897</u> . | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. € | g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N | /A. | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the interview, Mr. Scott M. Tulino. ESQ argues that the reference of the prior art of Vazan (USP. 6,753,897) does not teach or suggest the limitation " rearranging the LEDs to make the characteristic values of adjacent LEDs substantially equal" as set forth in the independent claims 1, 5 and 16. However, the reference of the prior art by Vazan clearly teach or suggest the correction memory (41) (Vazan, Col. 3, Line 57) (Fig. 3) set the rearranging the LEDs to make the characteristic values of adjacent LEDs substantially equal (See Vazan, Col. 4, Line 3) & (ABSTRACT).

| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/615,340 | KATO ET AL. | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Chuc D. Tran | 2821 | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | |
| (1) Chuc D. Tran. | (3) | | | | |
| (2) <u>Scott M. Tulino, ESQ</u> . | (4) | · · · | | | |
| Date of Interview: 15 June 2005. | | | | | |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative] | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1,5,16 | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>VAZAN</u> (USP.6,753,897) | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A. | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| * | • | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| • | | · | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Man of S | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an | (Can) | 2000 mm) [] | | | |
| Attachment to a signed Office action. | Examiner's signa | ature, if required | | | |